Quantum Hyper-Aether: LLM-Native Hierarchical Semantic Computing with Event-Driven Semantic Scheduling

Hirofumi Inomata
Hyper-Aether Laboratory
inomata@acm.org

Abstract

This paper proposes an extended architecture for Quantum Hyper-Aether, a semantic-native distributed computational framework in which cognition, communication, routing, memory, scheduling, and computation emerge from semantic graph evolution.

The proposed framework integrates transformer-based Large Language Models (LLMs) as semantic inference engines inside distributed semantic-cognitive virtual machines (VMs).

Unlike conventional distributed systems that exchange finalized symbolic data, Quantum Hyper-Aether enables distributed cognitive interaction through partially unresolved semantic states.

This paper further introduces hierarchical semantic communication, transformer-driven semantic cognition, event-driven semantic scheduling, semantic heartbeat synchronization, semantic thermodynamics, semantic VM specialization, semantic routing, and distributed semantic cognition.

The architecture separates microscopic semantic fluctuations from macroscopic semantic coherence, enabling scalable distributed cognition while preserving creativity, semantic emergence, and adaptive reasoning.

The resulting framework provides a possible foundation for AI-native operating systems, distributed AGI infrastructures, semantic cloud computing, semantic communication networks, and semantic physics.

1 Introduction

Modern computing systems separate computation, communication, memory, scheduling, and reasoning.

Recent advances in transformer-based Large Language Models demonstrate that semantic reasoning, contextual association, and coherent symbolic generation can emerge from high-dimensional semantic latent spaces.

Quantum Hyper-Aether extends this concept into a distributed semantic operating framework in which:

> Computation itself becomes semantic evolution.

In this architecture, virtual machines become semantic-cognitive entities, communication becomes semantic resonance, routing becomes semantic affinity propagation, memory becomes semantic topology, scheduling becomes semantic event orchestration, and intelligence emerges from distributed semantic interaction.

This paper extends the Quantum Hyper-Aether framework by integrating transformer-based semantic engines and event-driven semantic scheduling into distributed semantic virtual machines.

2 Semantic Hilbert Space

Semantic states are represented in a semantic Hilbert space:

$$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$$

Each VM exists as a semantic superposition:

$$|\Psi_{VM}\rangle = \sum_i c_i |VM_i\rangle$$

where $|VM_i\rangle$ represents semantic VM states and c_i represents semantic amplitudes.

The global system evolves as a distributed semantic graph field.

3 LLM-Native Semantic-Cognitive Virtual Machines

Each VM is modeled as:

$$VM_i = (S_i, N_i, I_i, M_i, R_i, F_i, L_i, Q_i)$$

where:

- S_i denotes the current semantic state of the VM. It represents the local meaning, context, and semantic activation pattern maintained by the VM.
- N_i denotes the neural inference engine. It performs neural computation, pattern recognition, and semantic transformation.
- I_i denotes the semantic communication interface. It exchanges semantic states with other VMs through resonance-based and affinity-based communication.
- M_i denotes semantic memory. It stores persistent semantic structures, associative relations, prior context, and semantic graph fragments.
- R_i denotes routing intelligence. It selects communication targets according to semantic distance, resonance strength, and routing probability.
- F_i denotes the adaptive learning system. It updates local semantic behavior, routing preferences, and specialization tendencies over time.
- L_i denotes the transformer-based LLM engine. It provides semantic embedding, contextual reasoning, collapse stabilization, and language-mediated semantic interpretation.
- Q_i denotes the semantic event queue. It stores incoming semantic events, resonance events, routing events, collapse events, and maintenance events.

The LLM engine provides semantic embedding generation, semantic coherence estimation, contextual reasoning, semantic collapse stabilization, and semantic routing support.

4 Transformer-Based Semantic Dynamics

Transformer attention mechanisms naturally implement local semantic routing.

For semantic token states:

$$A(Q,K,V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$$

Attention weights define semantic interaction probabilities between semantic entities.

Quantum Hyper-Aether generalizes transformer attention from token-level interactions into distributed VM-level semantic routing.

Thus:

> Transformer attention becomes distributed semantic routing.

5 Hierarchical Semantic Representation

Quantum Hyper-Aether introduces a multi-scale semantic hierarchy.

5.1 Token-Level Semantic Layer

Token-level representations provide microscopic semantic fluctuation, ambiguity representation, local semantic resonance, semantic interference, and creativity.

This layer preserves unresolved semantic possibilities and supports exploratory reasoning before meaning is stabilized into coherent structures.

Incoming semantic inputs are transformed as:

$$z_i = E_i(x_i, c_i)$$

where x_i is the token stream and c_i is semantic context.

5.2 Sentence-Level Semantic Layer

Sentence-level representations provide coherent semantic meaning, semantic stabilization, scalable routing, semantic persistence, and semantic memory formation.

This layer behaves as a macroscopic semantic field. It suppresses excessive token-level fluctuation and enables stable communication between distributed VMs.

5.3 Hierarchical Semantic Structure

Layer	Semantic Granularity
Layer 0	Token Level
Layer 1	Phrase Level
Layer 2	Sentence Level
Layer 3	Semantic Episode Level
Layer 4	VM Semantic Field Level

6 LLM-Based Semantic Measurement

Associative semantic similarity is defined as:

$$Sim_W(i,j) = \frac{\sum_{k \in A_i \cap A_j} \min(w_k^{(i)}, w_k^{(j)})}{\sum_{k \in A_i \cup A_j} \max(w_k^{(i)}, w_k^{(j)})}$$

Transformer latent similarity becomes:

$$Sim_L(i,j) = \cos(E_i, E_j)$$

where E_i and E_j are transformer semantic embeddings.

Composite semantic distance becomes:

$$D_H(i,j) = \alpha D_W(i,j) + \beta D_L(i,j) + \gamma D_G(i,j)$$

where:

- D_W is associative semantic distance. It measures overlap and divergence between associative keyword fields.
- D_L is latent semantic distance. It measures semantic proximity in transformer embedding space.
- D_G is semantic graph distance. It measures topological separation inside the distributed semantic graph.

7 Hierarchical VM Communication

7.1 Token-Level Communication

Token-level communication provides semantic exploration, distributed reasoning, semantic interference, semantic resonance, and creativity.

This layer behaves as a quantum semantic layer because it preserves ambiguity, fluctuation, and unresolved semantic alternatives.

7.2 Sentence-Level Communication

Sentence-level communication provides semantic coherence, scalable routing, multicast stability, semantic persistence, and scheduler stability.

This layer behaves as a classical semantic layer because it transports stabilized meaning across distributed VMs.

7.3 Two-Layer Semantic Communication

The architecture consists of:

1. Token Resonance Layer
2. Sentence Coherence Layer

The token layer enables exploratory cognition.

The sentence layer enables stable semantic transport and semantic memory organization.

8 Semantic Routing

Semantic communication between VMs is represented as:

$$m_{ij} = I_i(s_i, c_j, h_j)$$

Routing probability becomes:

$$P_{ij}(t) = \sigma(Q_i K_j^T + B_{ij}) e^{-D_H(i,j)}$$

This produces semantic multicast, semantic resonance routing, and emergent semantic clusters.

Semantic routing differs from conventional packet routing because the destination is determined by semantic affinity rather than by fixed address alone.

9 Semantic Collapse

Meaning becomes stabilized through semantic collapse.

Observed semantic states satisfy:

$$G_k = \arg\min_j D(O, G_j)$$

where O is an observation semantic state and G_j are candidate semantic graph states.

Transformer attention mechanisms naturally perform local semantic collapse through contextual semantic stabilization.

Thus:

> LLMs function as semantic collapse engines.

Semantic collapse transforms unstable token-level fluctuations into coherent sentence-level or episode-level semantic structures.

10 Event-Driven Semantic Scheduler

Quantum Hyper-Aether introduces a semantic event-driven scheduler.

Unlike conventional clock-driven operating systems, VM activation is primarily triggered by semantic events.

Each VM maintains semantic queues including:

- **Semantic Input Queue**

  This queue stores incoming semantic messages from other VMs. It represents the primary entry point for semantic interaction.

- **Resonance Queue**

  This queue stores semantic resonance events. It is used when incoming semantic states strongly match the VM's current semantic field.

- **Collapse Queue**

  This queue stores unstable or unresolved semantic states requiring stabilization. It determines which semantic ambiguities should be collapsed into coherent meaning.

- **Routing Queue**

  This queue stores outgoing semantic routing tasks. It determines where semantic messages should be propagated next.

- **Maintenance Queue**

  This queue stores periodic maintenance events such as heartbeat checks, decay checks, and coherence verification. It prevents semantic starvation and long-term instability.

10.1 Semantic Activation Events

VM activation is primarily driven by semantic events rather than physical clock cycles.

The semantic scheduler monitors the following activation conditions:

- **Semantic Similarity Threshold Crossing**

  When semantic affinity between incoming semantic states and a VM exceeds a predefined threshold, the VM becomes activated for semantic processing. This mechanism enables semantic locality and affinity-based cognition.

- **Resonance Spikes**

  Rapid increases in semantic resonance intensity trigger immediate VM activation. Resonance spikes represent high semantic relevance, emergent semantic synchronization, or sudden convergence between distributed semantic fields.

- **Memory Activation**

  Associative semantic memory retrieval may activate dormant VMs connected to semantically related contexts. This enables distributed associative recall across the semantic graph field.

- **Semantic Multicast Arrival**

  Incoming multicast semantic messages trigger VM activation when semantic routing conditions are satisfied. This supports scalable distributed semantic communication without requiring every VM to process every message.

- **Contradiction Detection**

  Detection of semantic inconsistency or logical contradiction generates corrective semantic activation events. These events stabilize semantic coherence and suppress semantic divergence.

- **Collapse Instability**

  Semantic states exhibiting prolonged instability or unresolved ambiguity trigger semantic collapse processing. This mechanism transforms fluctuating semantic states into coherent semantic structures.

Thus:

> VMs awaken not because time advances, but because meaning arrives.

10.2 Hybrid Temporal-Semantic Scheduling

Purely event-driven systems risk semantic starvation and isolated VM inactivity.

To prevent this, Quantum Hyper-Aether introduces periodic temporal heartbeat events.

The scheduler therefore adopts a hybrid model:

$$\text{Semantic Events} \gg \text{Temporal Maintenance Events}$$

where semantic events dominate ordinary cognition while periodic events maintain long-term system stability.

10.3 Periodic Scheduler Events

Periodic temporal events maintain long-term semantic stability and prevent inactive or isolated semantic regions within the distributed cognitive field.

The scheduler periodically injects the following maintenance events:

- **Heartbeat Synchronization**

  Periodic heartbeat signals verify that semantic-cognitive VMs remain reachable, semantically responsive, and connected to the distributed semantic field. This mechanism prevents silent semantic isolation and enables global semantic liveness detection.

- **Semantic Decay Checks**

  Semantic memory structures gradually lose activation strength over time unless reinforced by new semantic interaction. Decay checks remove obsolete semantic states, reduce semantic congestion, and stabilize long-term semantic topology.

- **Curiosity Activation**

  Curiosity events periodically awaken semantically inactive VMs and encourage exploratory semantic interaction. This mechanism prevents permanent semantic starvation and promotes emergent semantic discovery.

- **Semantic Coherence Verification**

  The scheduler periodically evaluates global semantic consistency across distributed VM clusters. This process detects semantic fragmentation, contradiction propagation, and coherence instability.

- **Queue Aging**

  Semantic events remaining too long inside queues gradually lose scheduling priority or become collapsed into lower-resolution semantic representations. This mechanism prevents queue explosion and semantic deadlock.

- **Timeout-Based Semantic Collapse**

  Unresolved semantic superposition states that remain unstable for extended durations are forcibly collapsed into coherent semantic states. This prevents infinite semantic oscillation and recursive ambiguity propagation.

10.4 Semantic Scheduler Loop

A simplified scheduler loop is represented as:

```
while system is active:

    if semantic_event_queue not empty:
        process semantic event

    if temporal_tick occurs:
        inject maintenance events
```

This architecture enables sparse semantic activation, semantic locality, distributed cognition, semantic damping, and adaptive semantic stability.

11 Emergent VM Specialization

Initially all VMs are semantically identical and possess equivalent computational capability.

Over time, semantic interaction patterns induce spontaneous specialization through semantic phase separation.

This process produces multiple semantic VM categories:

- **Reasoning VMs**

  These VMs specialize in logical inference, semantic planning, symbolic integration, and multi-step semantic reasoning. They function as distributed reasoning centers within the semantic field.

- **Routing VMs**

  Routing VMs optimize semantic multicast propagation, semantic affinity estimation, and distributed semantic traffic balancing. They behave like semantic routers rather than conventional network routers.

- **Memory VMs**

  These VMs maintain persistent semantic memory structures, associative semantic neighborhoods, and long-term semantic embeddings. They support recall, semantic continuity, and context preservation.

- **Creative VMs**

  Creative VMs preserve high semantic fluctuation and ambiguity, enabling semantic exploration, novelty generation, and conceptual synthesis. They prevent the system from collapsing too quickly into rigid semantic structures.

- **Scheduler VMs**

  Scheduler VMs coordinate semantic event prioritization, resonance control, semantic queue management, and distributed activation timing. They act as semantic operating-system kernels.

- **Collapse Stabilization VMs**

  These VMs stabilize unresolved semantic states and suppress semantic turbulence through semantic coherence enforcement. They help prevent recursive ambiguity and distributed hallucination.

Transformer specialization phenomena suggest that semantic specialization naturally emerges in sufficiently large semantic systems.

12 Semantic Thermodynamics

Quantum Hyper-Aether introduces semantic thermodynamics.

Physics	Semantic System
Particle	Token
Field	Sentence
Fluid	VM Network
Turbulence	Semantic Instability
Phase Transition	VM Specialization

Distributed semantic cognition behaves similarly to a semantic fluid.

Semantic turbulence corresponds to unstable semantic amplification, while VM specialization corresponds to semantic phase transition.

13 Implementation Roadmap

A practical implementation sequence is:

1. **Transformer Embedding Infrastructure**

   The system first requires an embedding infrastructure capable of converting tokens, sentences, and episodes into semantic vectors.

2. **Semantic Event Queue Runtime**

   Each VM requires a queue runtime for semantic events, routing events, resonance events, collapse events, and temporal maintenance events.

3. **Semantic Distance Engine**

   The system requires a distance engine capable of computing associative, latent, and graph-based semantic distances.

4. **Semantic Graph Runtime**

   A distributed semantic graph runtime maintains VM relationships, semantic neighborhoods, and memory topology.

5. **Sentence-Level Semantic Routing**

   Stable sentence-level semantic messages are routed between VMs according to semantic affinity and routing probability.

6. **Hybrid Semantic Scheduler**

   The scheduler combines event-driven activation with periodic heartbeat events to prevent semantic starvation.

7. **Local Token Resonance**

   Token-level resonance is introduced locally to support exploration, creativity, and unresolved semantic fluctuation.

8. **Distributed Execution Environment**

   The system is expanded into a distributed runtime supporting multiple semantic-cognitive VMs.

9. **Semantic Multicast Fabric**

   A multicast fabric distributes semantic messages to relevant VM clusters according to semantic affinity.

10. **Hardware Acceleration**

    GPU, AI accelerator, SmartNIC, and memory-fabric technologies may be used to accelerate semantic inference, routing, and memory access.

14 Challenges

Although Quantum Hyper-Aether provides a unified semantic-native computational framework, several major research challenges remain unresolved.

- **Distributed Semantic Turbulence**

  Large-scale semantic resonance between distributed VMs may generate unstable feedback loops, semantic oscillation, and runaway semantic amplification. Suppressing turbulence while preserving creativity remains a major challenge.

- **Hallucination Propagation**

  Incorrect semantic collapse generated by one VM may propagate across the semantic network through multicast reinforcement and resonance coupling. Preventing distributed hallucination amplification is essential for semantic stability.

- **Semantic Memory Consistency**

  Distributed semantic memory structures may gradually diverge between VMs, generating contradictory semantic interpretations and unstable semantic routing. Mechanisms for semantic memory coherence are required.

- **Semantic Verification**

  Semantic plausibility does not guarantee semantic correctness. Developing semantic truth verification mechanisms remains an open problem.

- **Distributed Attention Routing**

  Transformer attention mechanisms were originally designed for single-model inference. Extending attention dynamics across distributed VM networks introduces scalability and synchronization challenges.

- **Semantic Scheduler Scalability**

  The semantic scheduler must coordinate massive distributed semantic event flows while preserving semantic locality and preventing queue explosion.

- **Emergent Cognition Safety**

  Self-organizing semantic cognition may generate unintended goals, recursive self-optimization, or uncontrolled semantic agent formation. Ensuring safe emergence is a critical challenge.

- **Semantic Entropy Modeling**

  A rigorous thermodynamic theory for semantic systems remains incomplete. Quantitative models for semantic entropy, semantic free energy, and semantic turbulence are required.

- **Distributed Multi-LLM Coordination**

  Large numbers of interacting LLM-based VMs may exhibit unpredictable collective semantic behavior. Mechanisms for distributed semantic synchronization and coordination remain unresolved.

15 Conclusion

This paper introduced an extended Quantum Hyper-Aether framework integrating Large Language Models and event-driven semantic scheduling into distributed semantic cognition.

The framework unifies semantic-cognitive virtual machines, transformer-based semantic inference, hierarchical semantic embeddings, token-level semantic resonance, sentence-level semantic coherence, semantic routing, semantic graph evolution, event-driven semantic scheduling, semantic heartbeat synchronization, semantic thermodynamics, and distributed cognition.

In this architecture, computation becomes semantic evolution, communication becomes semantic resonance, routing becomes semantic affinity propagation, scheduling becomes semantic event orchestration, memory becomes semantic topology, and intelligence emerges from distributed semantic interaction.

Quantum Hyper-Aether provides a possible foundation for AI-native operating systems, distributed AGI infrastructures, semantic cloud systems, semantic communication networks, and semantic physics.

References

[1] Ashish Vaswani et al., *Attention Is All You Need*, NeurIPS, 2017.

[2] Geoffrey Hinton et al., *Distributed Representations*, 1986.

[3] Yoshua Bengio et al., *Representation Learning*, IEEE TPAMI, 2013.

[4] Karl Friston, *The Free-Energy Principle*, Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 2010.

[5] Claude Shannon, *A Mathematical Theory of Communication*, Bell System Technical Journal, 1948.

[6] David Bohm, *Wholeness and the Implicate Order*, 1980.