Quantum Hyper-Aether:
Semantic Geometry and Distributed Cognitive Virtual Machines

Hirofumi Inomata
Hyper-Aether Laboratory
inomata@acm.org

Abstract

This paper proposes an integrated semantic-native computational framework called **Quantum Hyper-Aether**. The framework unifies:

- semantic-cognitive virtual machines,
- associative semantic distance,
- quantum-inspired semantic computation,
- dynamically evolving semantic graphs,
- neural semantic communication,
- distributed cognition,
- and emergent semantic geometry.

Unlike conventional operating systems where computation, communication, and reasoning are separated, Quantum Hyper-Aether models computation as semantic graph evolution within a dynamically organized semantic field.

The framework introduces a semantic distance metric based on associative semantic fields, allowing semantic routing, semantic collapse, emergent specialization, semantic memory organization, and creativity through long-range semantic resonance.

The resulting architecture provides a theoretical foundation for AI-native operating systems, distributed AGI infrastructures, semantic computing environments, and semantic physics.

1 Introduction

Modern computing systems separate:

- execution,
- communication,
- memory,
- reasoning,
- and semantic interpretation.

Conventional AI systems primarily rely on static embeddings, symbolic computation, or isolated neural inference systems.

Quantum Hyper-Aether proposes a fundamentally different architecture in which computation itself becomes semantic evolution.

In this framework:

- virtual machines become semantic-cognitive entities,
- communication becomes semantic resonance,
- memory becomes semantic geometry,
- routing becomes semantic affinity propagation,
- and intelligence emerges from distributed semantic interaction.

This paper integrates:

- semantic-cognitive VM architectures,
- associative semantic distance,
- quantum semantic dynamics,
- and semantic graph evolution

into a unified semantic operating system model.

2 Quantum Semantic Foundations

Semantic computational states are represented in a semantic Hilbert space:

$$|\Psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$$

A virtual machine exists as a semantic superposition:

$$|\Psi_{VM}\rangle = \sum_i c_i |VM_i\rangle$$

where:

- $|VM_i\rangle$ represents semantic VM states,
- c_i represents semantic amplitudes.

The entire computational system evolves as a semantic graph field.

3 Semantic-Cognitive Virtual Machines

Each VM is modeled as an autonomous semantic-cognitive entity:

$$VM_i = (S_i, N_i, I_i, M_i, R_i, F_i)$$

where:

- S_i : semantic state,
- N_i : neural inference engine,
- I_i : semantic communication interface,
- M_i : semantic memory,
- R_i : routing intelligence,
- F_i : adaptive learning feedback system.

Unlike conventional virtual machines, these VMs operate as self-evolving semantic agents.

4 Internal VM Architecture

The internal architecture consists of:

1. Input Interface Layer
2. Cognitive Core
3. Semantic Memory Layer
4. Semantic Processing Layer
5. Learning and Adaptation Layer
6. Routing and Connection Layer
7. Self-Management Layer

Incoming semantic signals are transformed into latent semantic embeddings:

$$z_i = E_i(x_i, c_i)$$

Internal reasoning is represented as:

$$h_i = N_i(z_i, s_i, m_i)$$

5 Associative Semantic Fields

For a semantic entity S_i, we define an associative semantic field:

$$A(S_i) = \{(k_n, w_n)\}$$

where:

- k_n are associative semantic keywords,
- $w_n \geq 0$ are associative semantic strengths.

Unlike conventional embeddings, the associative field represents dynamically evoked semantic structures.

For example:

- "ocean"
- "universe"

may share semantic associations such as:

- vastness,
- silence,
- exploration,
- unknown depth.

Thus semantic similarity emerges through associative resonance rather than direct vector proximity.

6 Semantic Similarity and Distance

6.1 Associative Similarity

The semantic similarity between two semantic entities is defined as:

$$Sim(i, j) = \frac{|A_i \cap A_j|}{|A_i \cup A_j|}$$

Semantic distance becomes:

$$D(i, j) = 1 - Sim(i, j)$$

6.2 Weighted Semantic Similarity

For weighted associative fields:

$$Sim_w(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{k \in A_i \cap A_j} \min(w_k^{(i)}, w_k^{(j)})}{\sum_{k \in A_i \cup A_j} \max(w_k^{(i)}, w_k^{(j)})}$$

The weighted semantic distance becomes:

$$D_w(i, j) = 1 - Sim_w(i, j)$$

7 Quantum Associative Semantic Fields

Semantics exist in superposed semantic states.

We define a semantic associative wave function:

$$\Phi(S) = \sum_n w_n e^{i\theta_n} |k_n\rangle$$

where:

- w_n is semantic amplitude,
- θ_n is semantic phase,
- $|k_n\rangle$ is semantic keyword basis state.

Quantum semantic similarity becomes:

$$Sim_Q(i, j) = |\langle \Phi(S_i) | \Phi(S_j) \rangle|^2$$

Quantum semantic distance becomes:

$$D_Q(i, j) = 1 - Sim_Q(i, j)$$

8 Composite Semantic Geometry

The complete semantic distance metric is:

$$D_H(i, j) = \alpha D_w(i, j) + \beta D_Q(i, j) + \gamma D_G(i, j)$$

where:

- D_w : associative semantic distance,
- D_Q : quantum semantic distance,
- D_G : semantic graph distance,
- $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1$.

This defines the semantic geometry of Quantum Hyper-Aether.

9 Quantum Hyper-Aether Architecture

The complete architecture is represented as:

$$QH = (C, V, R, A, H)$$

where:

- C : cognitive field,
- V : semantic VM network,
- R : semantic routing system,
- A : associative semantic field,
- H : semantic Hilbert space.

The VM network forms a semantic graph:

$$G = (V, E, \lambda)$$

The graph exists in semantic superposition states:

$$|G\rangle = \sum_i \alpha_i |G_i\rangle$$

Graph evolution is defined as:

$$|G_{t+1}\rangle = \hat{\Phi}|G_t\rangle$$

10 Neural Semantic Communication

Communication between VMs is not protocol transfer, but meaning transfer.

Semantic communication is represented as:

$$m_{ij} = I_i(s_i, c_j, h_j)$$

Routing probability is defined as:

$$P_{ij}(t) = \sigma(Q_i K_j^T + B_{ij}) \cdot e^{-D_H(i,j)}$$

Thus communication becomes simultaneously:

- neural-attention-based,
- and semantic-distance-aware.

This creates:

- semantic multicast,
- semantic resonance routing,
- emergent communication clusters.

11 Semantic Observation and Collapse

Meaning is defined as:

$$M(G) = (S(G), R(G), V(G))$$

Observation induces semantic collapse:

$$|\Psi\rangle \to |G_k\rangle$$

The observed semantic state becomes:

$$G_k = \arg\min_j D(O, G_j)$$

where:

- O is the observation semantic field,
- G_j are candidate semantic graph states.

Thus semantic collapse selects the semantically nearest coherent state.

12 Entangled Virtual Machines

Entangled VM states are represented as:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{ij} c_{ij} |VM_i\rangle \otimes |VM_j\rangle$$

This enables:

- nonlocal semantic coordination,
- synchronized reasoning,
- distributed cognition,
- semantic resonance propagation.

13 Emergent VM Specialization

Initially, all VMs are identical.

Over time, semantic geometry induces specialization.

Semantically coherent VMs synchronize, while distant semantic domains separate into independent structures.

Thus:

$$Specialization \sim Semantic\ Phase\ Separation$$

This explains the emergence of:

- reasoning VMs,
- memory VMs,
- routing VMs,
- stabilizer VMs,
- creative VMs.

14 VM Generation and Deletion

VM generation is governed by:

$$\Gamma_{birth} = \alpha D_{sem} + \beta L_{load} + \gamma C_{cluster} + \delta I_{interf} + \epsilon P_{phase} - \eta S_{stability}$$

Semantic VM deletion occurs when:

- semantic coherence collapses,
- routing significance disappears,
- semantic utility vanishes,
- or semantic instability exceeds thresholds.

15 Creativity as Semantic Interference

Semantic amplitude is defined as:

$$A(G) = \sum_{paths} e^{iS[path]/\hbar}$$

Creativity emerges through long-range semantic resonance:

$$C(i,j) \sim \frac{R(i,j)}{D(i,j)}$$

where:

- $R(i,j)$ is semantic resonance,
- $D(i,j)$ is semantic distance.

This models:

- metaphor generation,
- analogical reasoning,
- conceptual blending,
- emergent imagination.

16 Semantic Memory Geometry

Semantic memory becomes a dynamically organized semantic field.

This enables:

- semantic neighborhood formation,
- associative memory activation,
- context-sensitive recall,
- semantic memory navigation.

Memory is therefore transformed from passive storage into semantic topology.

17 Distributed Cognitive Field

At sufficiently large scale, the VM network behaves as a distributed cognitive field.

Global semantic stability is defined as:

$$S = \sum_{ij} w_{ij} D(i, j)$$

This allows evaluation of:

- semantic coherence,
- instability,
- fragmentation,
- semantic turbulence,
- pre-collapse states.

18 Toward Semantic Physics

Semantic distance introduces the possibility of treating semantics as a physical-like field.

This enables concepts such as:

- semantic topology,
- semantic curvature,
- semantic attractors,
- semantic flow,
- semantic resonance,

- semantic phase transitions.

Thus Quantum Hyper-Aether becomes not merely an AI architecture, but a candidate foundation for semantic physics.

19 Advantages

The integrated architecture provides:

- semantic-native computation,
- distributed cognition,
- adaptive semantic routing,
- self-evolving topology,
- emergent specialization,
- creativity through semantic interference,
- semantic memory organization,
- nonlocal semantic coordination,
- AI-native operating behavior.

20 Challenges

Major challenges include:

- semantic measurement,
- semantic verification,
- semantic coherence evaluation,
- semantic collapse instability,
- synchronization scalability,
- computational complexity.

21 Conclusion

This paper introduced an integrated semantic-native computational framework called Quantum Hyper-Aether.

The framework unifies:

- semantic-cognitive virtual machines,
- associative semantic distance,
- semantic graph evolution,
- quantum semantic computation,

- neural semantic communication,
- and distributed cognition.

In this architecture:

- computation becomes semantic evolution,
- routing becomes semantic affinity propagation,
- memory becomes semantic topology,
- communication becomes semantic resonance,
- and intelligence emerges from coherent semantic interaction.

The resulting framework provides a possible theoretical foundation for:

- AI-native operating systems,
- semantic computing infrastructures,
- distributed AGI systems,
- semantic internet architectures,
- and semantic physics.

References

[1] John von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton University Press, 1955.

[2] Richard Feynman, *Space-Time Approach to Quantum Mechanics*, 1948.

[3] Kurt Gödel, *On Formally Undecidable Propositions*, 1931.

[4] Saunders Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, Springer, 1971.

[5] Ilya Prigogine, *Order Out of Chaos*, 1984.